

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,625	06/25/2003	Werner Stamm	1454.1009C	8975
21171 75	90 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			MCNEIL, JENNIFER C	
SUITE 700	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			me
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,625	STAMM, WERNER	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer C McNeil	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ere  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON <sup>*</sup> tute, cause the application to become AB.	oply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)    Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bures.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>09/674,328</u> . received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/602,625

Art Unit: 1775

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP \$ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not have proper antecedent basis for the range of yttrium, scandium and rare earth metals in claim 2. The range of the claims is 0.05% to 0.7% by weight, and the only reference in the specification is found on page 5, paragraph 18, which gives a range of 0.3-2% by weight. The same is true for the rhenium of the claims. The range claimed is 0.5-2%, 1.5%, or 0-2%, while the specification teaches 0-20. Claim 16 gives a range for ruthenium of 0-1%, but there is no antecedent basis for this found in the specification. The range of aluminum in the claims of 9-11.5% and chromium 15-21% is not in the specification. Finally, claims 18 and 19 refer to chromium-rhenium precipitations. There is no antecedent basis for this in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmitz et al (US 5,993,980). Schmitz teaches a protective coating comprising 15-35 wt% Cr, 7-18 wt% Al, 5-20 wt% Re, 0.3-2 wt% Y or an equivalent from Sc and the rare earth elements, and at least one of Fe, Ni, and Co (col. 3, lines 40-48). Regarding claim 5, the substrate is a component for a gas turbine.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,625

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 1-9, and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smeggil et al (US 4,451,299). Smeggil teaches a high temperature coating including a coating of MCrAlY having a depletion zone (41) comprised of the gamma phase (col. 4, lines 4-10). Smeggil teaches that the partial melting of the surface portion of the coating enhances the protective capabilities of the high temperature coating. Regarding the composition of the coating, Smeggil teaches that the Cr is about 15-45 wt%, Al is about 7-20 wt%, Re is up to 10 wt%, and Y is about 0.1-5 wt% (col. 3, lines 1-15). Regarding claims 8 and 9, the method limitations are not considered to structurally define the article over that of the prior art. Regarding claim 14, the substrate may be a component of a turbine engine.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeggil et al (US 4,451,299). Smeggil teaches the coating as described above, including overlapping ranges of the compositions. While Smeggil does not give specific examples within the ranges, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ 549.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,625

Art Unit: 1775

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeggil et al (US 4,451,299) in view of Taylor et al (US 5,741,556). Smeggil teaches a coating as discussed above but does not include zirconium. Warnes teaches an improved MCrAlY coating that may also include a reactive element such as Zr. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an MCrAlY layer including Zr as taught by Taylor for the coating of Smeggil, to provide a known MCrAlY-types protective layer with enhanced protective capabilities.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C McNeil whose telephone number is 571-272-1540. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JCM |

March 7, 2004